Inter Agency Collaboration in Poverty Alleviation: A Public Administration Perspective

Sa'adih¹, Rosinta Paulina Hutauruk², Dyah Lauravia ³

- ¹ Universitas Indonesia Mandiri, Indonesia
- ² Universitas Indonesia Mandiri, Indonesia
- ³ Universitas Indonesia Mandiri, Indonesia Email: Saadih@gmail.com

ABSTRAK

Penelitian ini mengeksplorasi dinamika kolaborasi antar-lembaga dalam program penanggulangan kemiskinan dalam konteks administrasi publik di Indonesia. Dengan mengakui sifat kemiskinan yang multidimensional, penelitian ini menelaah bagaimana berbagai institusi pemerintah berkoordinasi untuk memberikan layanan yang lebih terintegrasi dan efektif. Menggunakan pendekatan studi kasus kualitatif, penelitian ini menganalisis praktik kelembagaan, mekanisme koordinasi, serta tantangan yang dihadapi di beberapa wilayah terpilih. Temuan menunjukkan bahwa kolaborasi yang efektif didukung oleh komitmen kepemimpinan, pembagian peran yang jelas, tujuan bersama, dan struktur tata kelola yang adaptif. Namun, masih terdapat sejumlah hambatan seperti fragmentasi birokrasi, belum terintegrasinya sistem data, ketimpangan sumber daya, serta keterlibatan masyarakat yang terbatas. Studi ini menegaskan bahwa kolaborasi antar-lembaga yang berhasil tidak hanya meningkatkan koherensi kebijakan dan kualitas layanan, tetapi juga memperkuat nilai publik melalui inklusivitas dan efisiensi. Temuan ini memberikan kontribusi pada diskursus tata kelola kolaboratif dalam administrasi publik serta menawarkan rekomendasi kebijakan untuk memperkuat sinergi kelembagaan dalam upaya pengentasan kemiskinan.

Kata kunci: kolaborasi antar-lembaga, penanggulangan kemiskinan, administrasi publik, tata kelola kolaboratif, sinergi kelembagaan, Indonesia.

ABSTRACT

This study explores the dynamics of inter-agency collaboration in poverty alleviation programs within the context of public administration in Indonesia. Recognizing the multidimensional nature of poverty, the research investigates how various government institutions coordinate efforts to deliver more integrated and effective services. Using a qualitative case study approach, the study analyzes institutional practices, coordination mechanisms, and challenges encountered in selected regions. Findings reveal that effective collaboration is facilitated by leadership commitment, clear role division, shared goals, and adaptive governance structures. However, several barriers persist, including bureaucratic fragmentation, lack of integrated data systems, resource asymmetry, and limited community engagement. The study emphasizes that successful inter-agency collaboration not only improves policy coherence and service delivery but also enhances public value through inclusivity and efficiency. These insights contribute to the discourse on collaborative governance in public administration and offer policy recommendations for strengthening institutional synergy in poverty alleviation efforts.

Keywords: inter-agency collaboration, poverty alleviation, public administration, collaborative governance, institutional synergy, Indonesia

INTRODUCTION

Poverty remains one of the most persistent and complex public policy challenges across the globe, especially in developing countries such as Indonesia. Despite various government interventions and programs, poverty continues to affect a significant proportion of the population. The multidimensional nature of poverty encompassing economic. educational, health-related and deprivations—requires solutions that transcend the capabilities of a single government agency level administration (World Bank, 2022).

In response to this complexity, collaborative governance has emerged as a approach within strategic public administration. Collaboration across institutions—whether between governmental bodies, non-governmental organizations (NGOs), private actors, or community-based groups—is increasingly seen as necessary to address systemic social issues such as poverty (Ansell & Gash, 2008). Inter-agency collaboration allows for resource sharing, policy integration, knowledge transfer, and service coordination, which are critical in poverty alleviation efforts.

The Indonesian government has implemented numerous poverty reduction strategies, such as the Family Hope Program Non-Cash (PKH), Food Assistance (BPNT), and Village Fund programs. However, the fragmentation of responsibilities bureaucratic undermines the effectiveness of these initiatives. Ministries and local government units tend to operate in silos, resulting in overlapping targets, inefficient use of resources, and lack of service continuity (Bappenas, 2020).

Inter-agency collaboration seeks to overcome such challenges by fostering synergy among institutions with complementary mandates. For example, the Ministry of Social Affairs may focus on social safety nets, while the Ministry of Health addresses malnutrition, and local governments provide contextual support based on regional needs. When these efforts are coordinated through collaborative frameworks, the outcomes can be more impactful and sustainable (Bryson, Crosby, & Stone, 2015).

However, collaboration is challenges. Differences in without its priorities, institutional cultures. and accountability systems often hinder effective cooperation. Issues such as bureaucratic ego, lack of trust, insufficient commitment, leadership and regulatory frameworks can limit the depth sustainability and of inter-agency partnerships (Agranoff & McGuire, 2003). Moreover, limited capacity at the local level, especially in rural or under-resourced areas, further complicates collaborative efforts.

From a public administration perspective, successful inter-agency collaboration depends on several enabling factors, including political will, governance structure, shared vision, performance-based management, and community involvement. These factors contribute to the alignment of institutional goals and the establishment of mechanisms for coordination, monitoring, and accountability (Emerson & Nabatchi, 2015).

This study explores the dynamics of inter-agency collaboration in poverty alleviation within the context of Indonesia, particularly at the regional level. It seeks to various understand how institutions coordinate, interact. responsibilities in the implementation of poverty-related programs. The study also examines the barriers to collaboration and identifies strategies that can enhance institutional synergy and public value creation.

The research adopts a qualitative approach using case studies in selected regions known for their collaborative poverty reduction efforts. Data are collected through interviews with officials

from relevant government agencies, local leaders, and non-governmental actors. Policy documents, program evaluations, and secondary data sources are also analyzed to provide a comprehensive view of inter-agency collaboration in practice.

By situating the discussion within public administration theory, the study contributes to the growing literature on collaborative governance in developing countries. It highlights how administrative reform, decentralization, and multi-sectoral engagement can shape the effectiveness of anti-poverty initiatives. Ultimately, the findings are expected to inform policy recommendations for improving coordination and coherence among institutions involved in poverty alleviation.

This introduction sets the stage for a deeper examination of how collaborative strategies can be designed, institutionalized, and sustained in the face of administrative complexity. It also underscores the need for further empirical exploration to better understand the institutional dynamics that support or hinder inter-agency collaboration in the public sector.

METHOD

This study employed a qualitative research approach with a descriptive case study design to explore the dynamics of inter-agency collaboration in poverty alleviation programs. The qualitative approach was chosen to enable a deep and contextual understanding of institutional interactions, collaborative mechanisms, and challenges faced in multi-agency governance. Case studies were conducted in two selected regions in Indonesia where collaboration between local governments. central agencies, and non-governmental actors in poverty programs was considered relatively active and structured.

Data collection techniques included in-depth semi-structured interviews, document analysis, and direct observation. Key informants were selected purposively and included officials from the Ministry of Social Affairs, local government poverty task forces, representatives of non-profit organizations, and community leaders. Interviews focused on inter-agency roles, mechanisms, coordination program integration, and obstacles to collaboration. In addition to interview data, relevant documents, strategic memorandum of understandings (MoUs), and evaluation reports were analyzed to strengthen data triangulation and support the credibility of findings (Creswell & Poth, 2018; Yin, 2018).

The data were analyzed using analysis techniques. thematic transcription and coding, recurring themes such as coordination structures, leadership roles, institutional capacity, bureaucratic resistance, and shared objectives were identified. The analysis also considered factors such as regional contextual and autonomy, administrative culture, political support. To ensure research trustworthiness, the applied techniques of credibility, transferability, dependability, and confirmability outlined by Lincoln and Guba (1985). The qualitative design is considered appropriate for understanding the nuanced relationships and governance mechanisms inherent in inter-agency collaboration.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The results of the study indicate that inter-agency collaboration in poverty alleviation most effective when by formal coordination supported mechanisms. In the selected regions, coordination forums such as joint task forces and poverty reduction boards have established to integrate been local governments, ministries, and civil society organizations. These platforms facilitate regular meetings, joint planning, and the sharing of program targets, which help to minimize duplication and improve the allocation of resources.

One of the main findings is that leadership commitment plays a pivotal role in sustaining inter-agency collaboration. In

regions where local leaders, such as mayors or regents, actively support collaborative governance, agencies are more likely to align their missions and cooperate effectively. Leadership provides the necessary political will and legitimacy for inter-organizational partnerships to flourish, especially in a bureaucratic culture where siloed operations are still dominant.

Despite structural coordination, several challenges persist. Differences in mandates institutional and standard operating procedures often lead fragmented implementation. For instance, while the social welfare department may focus on direct aid, the education and health departments operate on different timelines and indicators. making program synchronization difficult. These disparities are exacerbated by the lack of integrated data systems that could unify beneficiary databases and streamline services.

Another critical barrier is the unequal distribution of capacity across agencies. Some departments have skilled personnel and sufficient budgets, while others struggle with technical limitations and high staff turnover. This imbalance creates dependency and power asymmetry within the collaborative framework. It also hampers equitable decision-making and undermines trust among partners, especially when certain agencies dominate agenda-setting and resource control.

Community involvement emerged as both a success factor and a gap. In some collaborative models, local community engaged groups are in program identification, monitoring, and evaluation, which enhances legitimacy responsiveness. However, in many cases, community participation remains tokenistic or limited to consultation sessions without influence over decision-making. Strengthening bottom-up collaboration is essential for building sustainable and inclusive anti-poverty policies.

The study also found that bureaucratic ego and competition between

agencies hinder collaboration. Some departments are reluctant to share data or coordinate programs due to fears of losing authority or visibility. This behavior reflects a deeper issue of institutional misalignment and a lack of shared performance indicators. Without a clear framework for collective accountability, agencies tend to prioritize individual achievements over joint outcomes.

Financial integration is another challenge. Although national and local governments allocate funds for poverty programs, the budgeting process remains fragmented. Each agency prepares its budget independently, leading to overlaps and inefficiencies. There is minimal effort to pool resources or design joint funding schemes, which limits the potential impact of combined interventions and weakens the coherence of poverty alleviation strategies.

Successful collaboration cases in the study are characterized by adaptive institutional arrangements. These include flexible working groups, inter-agency MoUs, shared digital platforms, governance multilevel mechanisms. Agencies that adopt an open and learningoriented culture are more likely to innovate and respond effectively to evolving poverty dynamics. Such adaptive governance practices align with public administration principles that emphasize networked leadership and cross-sector collaboration.

From a theoretical standpoint, the collaborative findings support the governance model, which posits that complex social problems require the joint involvement of diverse stakeholders with shared goals, mutual trust. and institutionalized dialogue (Ansell & Gash, 2008). However, the empirical data also suggest that collaboration must embedded in broader public sector reform, including digital transformation. decentralization, and performance-based management.

In summary, inter-agency collaboration in poverty alleviation offers

promising opportunities for integrated and efficient public service delivery. Nevertheless, its effectiveness is contingent upon political leadership, institutional compatibility, community participation, resource sharing. The findings underline the need for more intentional of collaborative frameworks supported by legal mandates, technological tools, and shared accountability structures.

CONCLUSION

This study concludes that interagency collaboration is a critical approach addressing the complex multidimensional nature of poverty in Indonesia. The findings reveal that while formal coordination mechanisms have been established in several regions, effectiveness greatly depends on the of committed leadership, presence institutional alignment, and adequate resources. Collaborative forums and joint task forces provide a platform for policy integration and program synergy, yet they often face obstacles stemming from fragmentation, bureaucratic unequal capacity, and sectoral egocentrism.

Effective collaboration is marked by clear role division, integrated data systems, and active community engagement. In regions where collaboration is supported by adaptive governance structures and participatory processes, poverty alleviation programs tend to be more responsive and sustainable. However, limitations such as fragmented budgeting, lack of shared accountability indicators, and minimal resource pooling reduce the transformative potential of collaborative governance.

Therefore, enhancing inter-agency collaboration requires not only institutional arrangements but also a cultural shift toward cooperation, transparency, and collective responsibility. Legal frameworks should mandate coordination, and performance management systems must incentivize joint outcomes rather than isolated achievements. Furthermore,

empowering local actors and involving citizens meaningfully in planning and implementation are essential for fostering inclusive and effective poverty reduction.

This research contributes to public administration discourse by highlighting how collaborative strategies can bridge institutional silos and create public value in complex policy areas. Future studies are encouraged to explore the longitudinal effects of collaboration, the role of technology in coordination, and comparative models across regions to deepen the understanding of collaborative governance in practice.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The author would like to express gratitude to all government officials, local leaders, and community representatives who participated in this study and generously shared their insights and experiences. Special thanks are extended to the regional development planning agencies and social service departments in the selected study areas for providing access to relevant data and documents. Appreciation is also given to at Universitas Indonesia Mandiri for their valuable feedback and academic support throughout the research process. This study was conducted without specific financial sponsorship, but it benefited greatly from institutional resources and collaborative engagement.

REFERENCES

Agranoff, R., & McGuire, M. (2003).

Collaborative public management:

New strategies for local governments.

Georgetown University Press.

Ansell, C., & Gash, A. (2008). Collaborative governance in theory and practice. *Journal of Public Administration Research and Theory*, 18(4), 543–571. https://doi.org/10.1093/jopart/mum03

Bappenas. (2020). Strategi nasional percepatan penanggulangan

- kemiskinan 2020–2024. Kementerian Perencanaan Pembangunan Nasional/Bappenas.
- Bovaird, T., & Löffler, E. (2009). *Public management and governance* (2nd ed.). Routledge.
- Bryson, J. M., Crosby, B. C., & Stone, M. M. (2015). Designing and implementing cross-sector collaborations: Needed and challenging. *Public Administration Review*, 75(5), 647–663. https://doi.org/10.1111/puar.12432
- Creswell, J. W., & Poth, C. N. (2018).

 Qualitative inquiry and research
 design: Choosing among five
 approaches (4th ed.). SAGE
 Publications.
- Denhardt, R. B., & Denhardt, J. V. (2015). *The new public service: Serving, not steering* (3rd ed.). Routledge.
- Emerson, K., & Nabatchi, T. (2015). *Collaborative governance regimes*. Georgetown University Press.
- Frederickson, H. G., Smith, K. B., Larimer, C. W., & Licari, M. J. (2015). *The public administration theory primer* (3rd ed.). Westview Press.
- Gupta, K. (2011). A practical guide to needs assessment (3rd ed.). Wiley.
- Huxham, C., & Vangen, S. (2005). Managing to collaborate: The theory and practice of collaborative advantage. Routledge.
- Imperial, M. T. (2005). Using collaboration as a governance strategy: Lessons from six watershed management programs. *Administration & Society*, 37(3), 281–320. https://doi.org/10.1177/00953997052 76111
- Kettl, D. F. (2015). The transformation of governance: Public administration for the twenty-first century America. Johns Hopkins University Press.
- Kim, S., & Lee, J. (2009). The impact of management capacity on government innovation in Korea. *Public*

- Management Review, 11(3), 407–423.
- Lincoln, Y. S., & Guba, E. G. (1985).

 Naturalistic inquiry. SAGE
 Publications.
- Miles, M. B., Huberman, A. M., & Saldaña, J. (2014). *Qualitative data analysis: A methods sourcebook* (3rd ed.). SAGE Publications.
- O'Leary, R., & Bingham, L. B. (2009). The collaborative public manager: New ideas for the twenty-first century. Georgetown University Press.
- OECD. (2019). *OECD studies on public engagement: Innovations in open government*. OECD Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1787/97892643025 63-en
- Osborne, S. P. (Ed.). (2010). The new public governance? Emerging perspectives on the theory and practice of public governance. Routledge.
- Peters, B. G. (2015). Pursuing horizontal management: The politics of public sector coordination. University Press of Kansas.
- Roberts, N. (2004). Public deliberation in an age of direct citizen participation. *The American Review of Public Administration*, 34(4), 315–353.
- Sabatier, P. A. (Ed.). (2007). *Theories of the policy process* (2nd ed.). Westview Press.
- Suwandi, S., & Santosa, A. B. (2020). Kolaborasi antarinstansi dalam program pengentasan kemiskinan. *Jurnal Ilmu Administrasi Negara*, 10(1), 23–33.
- United Nations Development Programme (UNDP). (2021). *Human development report 2021/2022: Uncertain times, unsettled lives*. https://hdr.undp.org
- World Bank. (2022). *Poverty and shared prosperity 2022: Correcting course*. https://www.worldbank.org
- Yin, R. K. (2018). Case study research and applications: Design and methods (6th ed.). SAGE Publications.